

Proposal Title :	505 - 523 George Street, Sydn	ey - Alternative maximum h	eight control
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks metres for 505 - 523 George S		nximum building height control of 260
PP Number :	PP_2015_SYDNE_002_00	Dop File No	15/01521
oposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	06-Jan-2015	LGA covered :	Sydney
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Council of the City of Sydney
State Electorate :	SYDNEY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
ocation Details			
Street : 50	5-523 Geroge Street		
Suburb : Sy	/dney City :	Sydney	Postcode : 2000
Land Parcel : Lo	ot 1 DP573250		
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Wayne Williamson		
Contact Number :	0285754121		
Contact Email :	wayne.williamson@planning.n	sw.gov.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ails		
Contact Name :	Nicholas Knezevic		
Contact Number :	0292659333		
Contact Email :	nknezevic@cityofsydney.nsw.	gov.au	
DoP Project Mana	ager Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Dat	ta		
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub		Consistent with Strateg	y :

505 - 523 George Street, Sydney - Alternative maximum height control

	MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
	Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
	No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	588
	Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	125
	The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes	ł	
	If No, comment :			
	Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
	If Yes, comment :			
	Supporting notes			
	Internal Supporting Notes :	City of Sydney Council is seeking functions under section 59 of the EP&A Act). Delegation is conside	Environmental Planning and	Assessment Act 1979 (the
	External Supporting Notes :	505-523 George Street (the site) is building height of 150 metres and		
		The site has an area of approxima development types consisting of accommodation, serviced apartm	commercial offices, retail pre	is surrounded by a mix of mises, residential
		The site is currently occupied by site and the adjoining site, 525-52 complex but straddles two separa Street is not part of this proposal	9 George Street. The cinema ate land titles in separate own	is operated as a single
		The scheme proposes constructi square metres of residential floor floor space to be allocated to con meeting rooms and public toilet f	space, 10,900 square metres nmunity facilities including ch	of retail floor space, and
40	lequacy Assessmen	it	i - r , i r i i	
	Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)			
	Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes			

Comment : The primary objective of the proposal is to enable an alternative building height of 260 metres to apply to 505-523 George Street. The alternative building height is only available to a redevelopment that provides for community facilities; such as childcare centres, publicly accessible toilet facilities and community meeting rooms. Any childcare centre will be excluded from gross floor space calculations and floor space located above the podium is limited to residential uses.

Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55	i(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :	benefits being provid	o make an alternative building height of 260 metres, s led, available through a local clause. If community us g height control of 150 metres continues to apply.	
Justification - s55 (2	2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strate	gy been agreed to by the [Director General? No	
b) S.117 directions ider	ntified by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones	
* May need the Directo	r General's agreement	 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for 	Sydney 2036
Is the Director Gene	eral's agreement required?		
	dard Instrument (LEPs) O		
 d) Which SEPPs have e) List any other matters that need to 	the RPA identified?	SEPP No 22—Shops and Commercial Premises SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopme SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 60—Exempt and Complying Developme SEPP No 64—Advertising and Signage SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat I SEPP No 70—Affordable Housing (Revised Scher SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Cod SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disa SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Major Projects) 2005 SEPP (Temporary Structures and Places of Publi 2007 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009	ent Development mes) L es) 2008 bility) 2004
be considered :			
		ng adequately justified? Yes	nation 447
If No, explain :	The proposal is no Directions.	t considered to be inconsistent with any SEPPs and s	section 117
Mapping Provided	- s55(2)(d)		
Is mapping provided?	No		
Comment :	The proposal does	not amend any maps.	
Community consul	tation - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consul	tation been proposed? Ye	S	
Comment :		n will be undertaken in accordance with the Gateway of an exhibition period of 28 days.	determination.
	PROJECT TIMELIN	E	
		Page 3 of 8	02 Feb 2015 03:10 pn

505 - 523 George Street, Sydney - Alternative maximum height control

Council has provided an indicative project timeline with no completion date. The Department considers a 12 month project timeline for completion is adequate.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : December 2012

Comments in The Sydney LEP 2012 was notified in December 2012. relation to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning
proposal :The proposal is a result of several detailed studies undertaken on behalf of the landowner.
The studies provide a sound basis to progress the proposal as they demonstrate that a
taller building will result in better amenity and built form outcomes when compared to
development that may be achieved by the existing 150 metre height.A variation of the existing height control by way of a clause 4.6 exception would be a
significant departure from the existing height standard. The height variation could be
achieved by amending the height of buildings map, however this does not provide
certainty regarding the delivery of the community benefits.
Hence, a planning proposal is considered the best way to implement an incentive type
clause to allow for increased building height and also secure community benefits.Council has existing site specific incentive clauses in the Sydney LEP 2012, which create a
precedent for this proposal.

Consistency with	The proposal is consistent with the Draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney 2031 in that it
strategic planning	will:
ramework :	 Create capacity for new jobs through the provision of additional retail floor space and child care facilities on the site;
	• contribute towards the regeneration of the Town Hall to Central corridor, improving the
	streetscape activation and appearance; and
	• enhance the daytime and night time economy through the provision of a mix of uses on
	the site and a major retail offering.
	The proposal is consistent with A Plan for Growing Sydney (released on 14 December
	2014) as the site will supply housing in a strategic centre close to jobs and public
	transport. The Gateway determination will be conditioned to amend the proposal to discuss consistency A Plan for Growing Sydney as opposed to the draft Metropolitan
	Strategy for Sydney to 2031.
	The proposal supports the key directions of the Draft Sydney City Subregional Strategy by
	providing investment into the Sydney economy, improving the quality of the built form on
	the site and providing new dwellings in the Sydney city subregion near major transport routes.
	The proposal is consistent with several strategic directions in the Sustainable Sydney 2030
	community plan.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The proposal does not apply to land that has been identified as containing critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.
	The key environmental considerations arising from the proposal, particularly in relation to urban amenity are detailed below.
	City Skyline Analysis
	Skyline view analysis indicates that the proposed height of the tower would sit satisfactorily within the context of existing buildings within the southern portion of the CBD.
	Built Form Envelope and Indicative Building Massing
	The proposed height control will enable a building envelope for the site which provides
	flexibility and opportunity for design innovation during the competitive design and development application processes.
	Overshadowing of nearby residential buildings
	14 residential buildings are located to the south of the site. Shadow analysis demonstrates the proposed building will partly overshadow 8 residential buildings. However, the shadow
	is fast moving and allows the buildings to continue daylight exposure in the morning and late afternoon.
	Overshadowing of the public domain
	The proposal does not have any significant impact on the public domain to the south of the site.
	Privacy & Building Separation
	While setbacks are less than that recommended by the Residential Flat Design Code,
	Council considered the proposal acceptable due to the fact that the towers will be offset from one another, thereby avoiding direct overlooking.
	View Analysis
	Extensive view corridor analysis was undertaken by the proponent from various vantage
	tower at 115 Bathurst Street.
	Extensive view corridor analysis was undertaken by the proponent from various vantage points in and around the Sydney CBD. The proposal will be seen in the skyline from som key vantage points across the city. However, the building will sit satisfactorily within the context of the southern CBD, including World Square and the soon to be constructed

	The proponent commissioned i conditions at pedestrian level o experienced, with slightly wind certain wind directions.	on George Street would be sin	nilar to those currently
	Roads, Traffic and Access Key conclusions of the Traffic a • The proposed retail and comm volume of traffic given its CBD services and other amenities; • The removal of the cinema tra- traffic arising from the retail us • The residential component sh the weekday period and some of with the expectations of develor public transport services and a • Surrounding intersections wo the Bathurst Street/Kent Street Level of Service in the weekday proposed development scenario	nunity uses are not expected location and close proximity ffic would be more than adeq e; ould generate some 53-94 vel 159 VPH during the weekend opments in a major CBD centr menities within walking dista ould continue to operate satist intersection. This intersectio y peak and would reduce to a	to generate any significant to good public transport uate to offset any additional hicles per hour (VPH) during peak period. This is consistent e within close proximity to nce; and factorily with the exception of n currently operates at an E
	Sustainability The proposal is supported by a proponent to explore ways the performance objectives and tai	development can contribute	ncil advises it will work with the to the City's environmental
	Heritage The proposal has no further im the site and does not impact or	-	
	Childcare Councils Child Care Needs Ana government area. Within the Cl gap of 400 places. The proposa	hinatown & CBD South precin	icts the analysis identified a
Assessment Process	5		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation	28 Days

	Routine		Period :	20 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Transport for NSW Transport for NSW - R	loads and	Maritime Services	
Is Public Hearing by the F	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?		Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b)) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional stu	udies, if required.			
	58			

 \mathbf{e}_{i}

505 - 523 George Street, Sydney - Alternative maximum height control

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons : The site's infrastructure is capable of accommodating, or can be augmented to accommodate, a mixed use development proposal.

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Council Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Appendix B_Survey plan.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix C_Contamination.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix D_Sustainability.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix E_Heritage.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix F_Traffic.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix G_Aeronautical Impact Assessment.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix H_Wind.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix I_George Street Commercial Property Market	Study	Yes
Appraisal 230414.pdf		
Appendix J_Engineering Report.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix K_Geotech.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix L_ CPTED Assessment Report Sept 2014.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix M_Waste Management Plan.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix N_BCA.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix O_Prelim Public Art Plan FINAL_Low	Study	Yes
Resolution.pdf		
Appendix P_Vertical Transportation Design Statement -	Study	Yes
505 George Street 230914.pdf		
Appendix Q_505 George Plant Letter.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix R_505 George Basix Letter.pdf	Study	Yes
Appendix S_Cross City Tunnel Ventilation Stack	Study	Yes
Assessment.pdf		
Appendix T_Draft DCP.pdf	Study	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

	S.117 directions	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones	
		2.3 Heritage Conservation	
		3.1 Residential Zones	
		3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport	
		4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils	
		6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements	
		6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes	
		6.3 Site Specific Provisions	
		7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	
	Additional Information	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed, subject to the following	
		conditions:	
		I. The planning proposal be publicly exhibited for a period of not less than 28 days.	
		2. Council is to consult with Transport for NSW and Roads and Maritime Services.	
		3. A public hearing is not required.	
_			

505 - 523 George Street, Sydney - Alternative maximum height control		
	4. Prior to exhibition, the planning proposal is to be revised to demonstrate consistency with A Plan for Growing Sydney.	
	5. The planning proposal is to be finalised within 12 months from the date of the gateway determination.	
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported as it represents an opportunity to deliver housing choice in close proximity to jobs and transport. Public benefits in the form of childcare centres, and community facilities are also available.	
Signature:	Shewells	
Printed Name:	Sandy Shewell Date: 2.2.15	

6